



THE UNIVERSITY *of* EDINBURGH

Edinburgh Research Explorer

Symptoms in primary care with time to diagnosis of brain tumours

Citation for published version:

Ozawa, M, Brennan, P, Zienius, K, Kurian, K, Hollingworth, W, Weller, D, Hamilton, W, Grant, R & Ben-Sholomo, Y 2018, 'Symptoms in primary care with time to diagnosis of brain tumours', *Family Practice*.
<https://doi.org/10.1093/fampra/cmz139>

Digital Object Identifier (DOI):

[10.1093/fampra/cmz139](https://doi.org/10.1093/fampra/cmz139)

Link:

[Link to publication record in Edinburgh Research Explorer](#)

Document Version:

Peer reviewed version

Published In:

Family Practice

General rights

Copyright for the publications made accessible via the Edinburgh Research Explorer is retained by the author(s) and / or other copyright owners and it is a condition of accessing these publications that users recognise and abide by the legal requirements associated with these rights.

Take down policy

The University of Edinburgh has made every reasonable effort to ensure that Edinburgh Research Explorer content complies with UK legislation. If you believe that the public display of this file breaches copyright please contact openaccess@ed.ac.uk providing details, and we will remove access to the work immediately and investigate your claim.



E-mail: mio.ozawa@bristol.ac.uk

'key messages'

- Using the data from the National Audit of Cancer Diagnosis in Primary Care, we examined how different symptoms and patient demographics predict variations in time to brain tumour diagnosis.
- Our results indicate overall a relatively short time to diagnosis, but with the potential for avoidable delay for patients presenting with headache only or with memory complaints.
- General practitioners would benefit from better methods to differentiate which patients with headaches or with memory complaints may benefit from rapid referral.

Background: Brain tumours often present with varied, non-specific features with other diagnoses usually being more likely.

Objective: To examine how different symptoms and patient demographics predict variations in time to brain tumour diagnosis.

Methods: Secondary analysis of brain tumour cases from National Audit of Cancer Diagnosis in Primary Care. We grouped neurological symptoms into 6 domains (headache, behavioural/cognitive change, focal neurology, “fits, faints, or falls”, non-specific neurological, and other/non-specific) and calculated times for patient presentation, general practitioner referral, specialist consultation and total pathway interval. We calculated odds ratios (ORs) for symptom domains comparing the slowest to other quartiles.

Results: Data were available for 226 cases. Median (inter-quartile range) time for the total pathway interval was 24 days (7-65 days). The most common presentation was focal neurology (33.2%) followed by “fits, faints or falls” and headache (both 20.8%). Headache only (OR 4.11, 95% CI 1.10, 15.5) and memory complaints (4.82, 95% CI 1.15, 20.1) were associated with slower total pathway compared to “fits, faints or falls”. General practitioners were more likely to consider that there had been avoidable delays in referring patients with headache only (OR 4.17, 95% CI 1.14, 15.3).

Conclusion: Patients presenting to primary care with headache only, or with memory complaints remain problematic with potentially avoidable delays in referral leading to a longer patient pathway. This may or may not impact on the efficacy and morbidity of therapies. Additional aids are required to help doctors differentiate when to refer headaches and memory complaints urgently for a specialist opinion.

Key words: Brain tumour, symptoms, delay in accessing care, National Audit of Cancer Diagnosis in Primary Care, diagnosis

Introduction

The incidence of brain tumours is low; age-adjusted incidence rates for all gliomas range from 4.7 to 5.7 per 100,000 persons.¹ This means that the diagnosis of brain tumour is very rare in primary care populations.

While the diagnosis of cancer is usually made in secondary care, most patients will have seen their General Practitioner (GP) prior to a diagnosis.^{2,4} Further, patients can present with a wide range of different symptoms which may be common (e.g. headache), non-threatening, or may be thought of as part of a normal ageing process (e.g. memory loss). The non-specificity of these symptoms creates a diagnostic challenge for all clinical staff. Current guidelines in the United Kingdom recommend that all patients with suspected CNS tumour must be seen by a specialist within 2 weeks of referral by their general practitioner (GP) but despite the introduction of this guideline in 2005, there appears to have been little improvement in the diagnostic interval⁵ (the time from first presentation with symptoms to diagnosis) over the last decade.⁶ Indeed, most recent figures show only 1% of cases with suspected brain tumour are diagnosed through the “suspected cancer” two-week wait process, while 17% are GP referrals through usual pathways, and 58% are diagnosed after an accident and emergency attendance.⁷

Several studies have examined case series of patients with brain tumours and have quantified the frequency of the most common presenting symptoms,⁸⁻⁹ in some cases deriving predictive values by comparing this to age-sex matched control patients in primary care.¹⁰⁻¹⁵ A systematic review¹⁶ found that all symptoms had in general low positive predictive values for brain tumours, apart from new-onset epilepsy. Few studies have investigated how symptoms may influence the time to diagnosis. The National Audit of Cancer Diagnosis in Primary Care (NACDPC) has previously found that around a third (35.2%) of patients with brain tumours took 15 days or more to present to their general practitioner (GP)¹⁷ and 21.4% of cases required three or more consultations before referral compared to 17.9% for all cancers or as little as 2.9% for breast cancer patients.¹⁸

1 85 This study examines whether different clinical presentations are associated with variations in the patient
2
3 86 pathway to diagnosis and where future interventions could be best targeted to reduce diagnostic delay and
4
5 87 possibly improve patient prognosis.
6
7
8 88

9
10 89 **Material and Methods**

11
12 90 *Data*

13
14 91 We analyzed data from the (English) National Audit of Cancer Diagnosis in Primary Care (NACDPC)
15
16 92 (2009-2010). Data were collected from 18,879 patients by 1,170 practices (~14% of all practices in
17
18 93 England) in 20 cancer networks using an audit template and information from their practice clinical records
19
20 94 and hospital correspondence. Any screen-detected or incidental cancers were excluded from the audit.
21
22 95 Patient demographics and the information related to the assessment process in primary care were collected
23
24 96 (for full details concerning the NACDPC methods see the report by Royal College of General
25
26 97 Practitioners).¹⁹
27
28
29

30 98
31
32 99 *Outcomes*

33
34 100 Only patients with a confirmed diagnosis of brain tumour (no details on specific pathology were available)
35
36 101 were selected for this analysis. We examined time to four specific outcomes to try and understand the
37
38 102 clinical pathway from symptom onset to specialist consultation (see figure 1 for visual representation).
39
40 103 These were: 1) Time from patient recognition of symptoms until first GP consultation (“patient interval”).
41
42 104 2) Time from first GP consultation until referral to specialist (“primary care interval”). 3) Time from referral
43
44 105 until specialist attendance (“specialist interval”). 4) Total time from patient recognition of symptoms until
45
46 106 first specialist visit (sum of 1 and 2 and 3 above) (“pathway interval”).
47
48
49 107
50
51
52 108 In addition, we looked at three other related outcomes that may indicate a sub-optimal referral interval: (a) if
53
54 109 the patient attended primary care 3 or more times before referral and (b) the GP’s response to the following
55
56
57
58
59
60

questions (i) “Would rapid access to investigations have altered your management of this case?” and (ii) Were there any avoidable delays to this patient's journey? In this latter case, GPs could respond No, Yes or Unsure. Due to small numbers, we combined Unsure and NO to create a binary outcome variable (Yes versus unsure/no). These last two variables are retrospective in nature.

Clinical symptoms

The information on patient records was collected by general practitioners or primary care professionals. We grouped individual symptoms into 6 domains based on categorizations of previous papers and the region of brain likely to be causing the symptom (intra-cerebral damage - focal neurology), intra-cerebral damage - cognitive / behavioural); intra-cerebral excitation (seizure); intracranial extra-cerebral damage - cranial nerve); raised pressure (headache), and “non specific” based on specialist opinions (PB, KZ, RG) (see supplementary table 1). We created the following domains; 1) headache, 2) behavioural/cognitive change, 3) focal neurology including stroke 4) episodic attacks – “fits, faints and falls” 5) non-specific neurological, and 6) other/non-specific features. Headache and behavioural/ cognitive change were further divided into 2 subgroups: headache was divided into headache only and headache plus additional features recorded, whilst behavioural/cognitive change was divided into confusion and memory only sub-groups. If more than one symptom was recorded (other than for the headache plus group), we chose what we considered to be the main symptom for classification purposes.

Other covariates

We also examined the following covariates: gender, age group (less than 60, 60 to 70, over 70 years), ethnicity (white British vs. other), whether the patient had problems in communication, was housebound, whether the GP ordered investigations before referral, type of referral, where patients first presented, and which specialist was chosen for the referral.

1 135 *Statistical Analysis*

2
3 136 We calculated the median (inter-quartile range) time to diagnosis (in days) according to patient, referral,
4
5 137 specialist and pathway intervals by symptom domains, and other factors. As the time data were highly
6
7 138 skewed, we derived a binary outcome variable indicating slower time interval by deriving quartiles and
8
9
10 139 comparing patients in the slowest versus the other three quartiles. We compared each symptom domain
11
12 140 relative to fits, faints and falls (baseline group) as this domain was associated with the shortest pathway
13
14 141 interval.
15

16
17 142
18
19 143 We calculated odds ratios (ORs), 95% confidence intervals (CI) and p-values using multivariable logistic
20
21 144 regression and treating symptom domain as a dummy variable. We calculated crude and multivariable odds
22
23 145 ratios, adjusting for age group, sex, and ethnicity as these co-variables are potential confounders as they may determine
24
25 146 how symptoms are perceived by the patients and present to the general practitioner as well as influencing time to see
26
27 147 general practitioner and referral. Because of missing data for the time intervals, we undertook multiple
28
29 148 imputation using chained equations for our binary outcomes so that we could use all the cases in our logistic
30
31
32 149 regression model. This analysis is potentially less biased due to missing data. The imputation model
33
34 150 included all variables from our analysis model as well as covariates shown in table 1. We used 20 cycles for
35
36 151 the chained equations and derived 10 imputed datasets, which were then combined using Rubin's rules to
37
38 152 derive the appropriate odds ratios, 95% confidence intervals and p-values using the mi estimate command
39
40 153 in Stata. The multivariable odds ratios are based on the imputed dataset to maximise statistical power, given
41
42 154 the relatively small sample size.
43

44 155

45
46
47
48 156 **Results**

49
50 157 There were 226 patients (96.6%) with information on presenting symptoms from 234 brain tumour cases.
51
52 158 The age distribution was bimodal (younger and older) with roughly equal numbers of men and women
53
54
55 159 (Table 1) The most common symptom domain was focal neurology including stroke (33.2%), followed by
56
57
58
59
60

160 episodic attacks – “fits, faints or falls” (20.8%), and headache (20.8%). About 30% of cases had
161 experienced three or more consultations prior to referral. In around a third of cases GPs considered, or were
162 not sure if, there had been avoidable delays. In around 20% the GPs felt that rapid access to investigations
163 would have been helpful.

164
165 The median (inter-quartile range) of the pathway interval was 24 days (7-65 days) (Table 2). Younger
166 patients (< 60 years) had longer delays on the pathway. There were marked variations in the pathway
167 interval by symptom domain. The shortest time was seen for episodic attacks – “fits, faints or falls” (10
168 days) whilst the longest interval was seen for memory loss (62 days). Patients who had investigations
169 before referral to specialist care had a longer pathway interval.

170
171 Table 3 shows the odds ratios and 95% confidence intervals for the longest quartile of time intervals for
172 each stage of the patient pathway. Compared to “fits, faints or falls”, headache and the non-specific
173 neurological group showed a significantly elevated odds ratio for the referral (OR 6.47, 95% CI 1.22, 34.3
174 and OR 11.9, 95% CI 1.82, 77.8, respectively). When we looked at the sub-groups, headache only (i.e.
175 headache without any other reported features), and memory only, they showed larger odds ratios for the
176 total pathway interval (OR 4.11, 95% CI 1.10, 15.5 and OR 4.82, 95% CI 1.15, 20.1, respectively), which
177 was mainly driven by the slower primary care interval (OR 11.8, 95% CI 1.88, 73.9 and OR 10.9, 95% CI
178 1.79, 66.1, respectively). GP diagnostic investigations before referral were also associated with slower
179 referral and slower overall pathways. Unsurprisingly, patients who were referred routinely had longer
180 primary care and specialist delays, with referral to A&E having shorter patient, specialist and pathway
181 interval. The results of non-imputed model are shown in supplementary table 2.

182
183 Both headache and behavioural/cognitive changes and non-specific symptoms were associated with at least
184 3 or more presentations before referral (table 4) and this was most marked for headache only (OR 7.92,

1 185 95% CI 1.80, 34.8), and memory complaints (OR 6.09, 95% CI 1.30, 28.6). GPs considered that faster
2
3 186 access to investigations would have helped for both headaches and focal neurology symptoms. GPs
4
5 187 retrospectively reported that there had been avoidable delays for patients presenting with headache only in
6
7 188 the patient journey (OR 3.64, 95% CI 0.83, 15.9) but this was consistent with chance.
8
9

10 189

11
12 190 **Discussion**
13

14 191 This is the first study to examine how different symptoms affect the patient pathway interval, using a
15
16 192 representative sample of brain tumour cases from the NACDPC study. We find marked variability in time
17
18 193 from symptom onset to first specialist attendance for patients with brain tumours, depending on their
19
20 194 symptoms. Overall, the median time from symptom presentation until being seen by a specialist is less than
21
22 195 4 weeks. Patients presenting with headaches, behavioural/cognitive changes or other/non-specific
23
24 196 symptoms attended their GP more frequently before referral; headache only and memory loss are
25
26 197 associated with a much slower patient pathway mainly due to delays in referral to a specialist (secondary
27
28 198 care). In addition, younger patients under the age of 60 years and patients over the age 69 also tend to
29
30 199 experience delays in referral and specialist consultation.
31
32
33
34

35 200

36
37 201 Most previous studies of the diagnostic pathway have focused on very specific tumour types, e.g. vestibular
38
39 202 schwannoma,²⁰ intradural spinal cord tumours,²¹ pituitary adenomas,²¹ acoustic neuromas,²² central nervous
40
41 203 lymphomas,²³ or intracranial germ cell tumours²⁴ (e.g.^{23,25,26}). Similarly, non-specific or more subtle features
42
43 204 such as personality changes were associated with delayed referral in a case series of 58 patients with
44
45 205 primary central nervous system lymphoma.^{23,27} Retrospective interviews with patients and relatives can
46
47 206 elicit prior histories of more subtle problems such as cognitive or personality change, though these
48
49 207 symptoms may be ignored by the patient.⁹
50
51

52 208

53
54
55 209 The positive predictive value of headache for adult patients with brain tumours is low (0.09% overall but
56
57
58
59
60

0.12% in 60-69 year olds) as compared to new onset seizure (1.2%).¹⁶ Since headache is a common complaint, it is difficult for GPs and other doctors to differentiate less serious causes of headache from headaches secondary to a brain tumour. Headaches associated with brain tumours are frequently of “tension” type or mimic migraine⁸ and the best clues are increasing frequency and severity, and headache features (e.g. worsening with cough or bending, nocturnal headaches or headaches on waking). The development of additional symptoms e.g. focal neurology or signs (papilloedema) will strongly support the diagnosis. This underlines the importance that GPs search for the presence of additional symptoms, such as behavioural/cognitive changes if uncertain as to whether a patient with headache requires investigations or specialist referral. The use of simple cognitive screening tests, such as semantic verbal fluency (SVF), may help. This requires assessment of how many animals the patient can name in one minute and has been previously demonstrated to be worse in brain tumour patients whose initial presenting symptom was headache/headache “plus”.^{28,29}

Strengths and limitations

The study has good generalizability to other high income healthcare settings, as cases were identified consecutively from primary care, without any selection by specialist units. Most studies do not prospectively collect data on patient delay, so cannot untangle the patient pathway into all its constituent components. However, we were forced to group various symptoms into domains to achieve sufficient power due to the sample size. In addition, the reporting of potentially avoidable delays and whether further investigation would have helped was done retrospectively by the GPs, so may have been biased by the actual patient outcomes. For some non-acute features, such as behavioural change, patients may have incorrectly reported the date of symptom onset. Some patients who had a first ever presentation directly to accident and emergency departments and were hospitalised would have not been included in this dataset, although this is not directly relevant to the issue of improving diagnostic delay in elective primary care. We included headaches associated with ‘nausea’ and ‘vomiting’ (N&V) under the headache plus group given

1 235 the lack of qualifying information in the data available. Ideally though there would be a distinction between
2
3 236 N&V seen in common conditions such as migraine, and ‘atypical’ or ‘red flag’ N&V (such as N&V
4
5 237 confined to early mornings, or on bending down) which alerts the GP to the possibility of more serious
6
7 238 pathology – such as a brain tumour. We could not look at how presentation and delay was associated with
8
9 239 type of brain tumour as we did not have data on the specific pathology, size and location. This would be of
10
11 240 interest as it would also be associated with management and prognosis.
12
13
14 241
15
16 242 Interestingly, GPs considered that more rapid access to investigations, such as neuroimaging, would have
17
18 243 helped, particularly for less specific symptoms such as headache.^{30,31} This important question needs to be
19
20 244 looked at in terms of cost-effectiveness given the potential large number of patients that will turn out to have
21
22 245 a normal scan. Current National Institute for Health and Care Excellence (NICE) guidance states “Consider
23
24 246 an urgent direct access MRI scan of the brain (or CT scan if MRI is contraindicated) (to be performed
25
26 247 within 2 weeks) to assess for brain or central nervous system cancer in adults with progressive, sub-acute
27
28 248 loss of central neurological function.”³² Patients with only headache or simple memory loss would not in
29
30 249 themselves be considered to meet these criteria. In addition, there is an implicit assumption that the
31
32 250 reduction in the diagnostic interval for patients presenting with headaches and memory loss would translate
33
34 251 into better clinical outcomes, which may or may not be true. Future work should examine whether
35
36 252 geographical areas with rapid access to neuroimaging have reduced delay in time to diagnosis and whether
37
38 253 this translates to differences in patient management, morbidity and survival.
39
40 254
41
42
43
44
45
46 255 **Conclusions**
47
48 256 Whilst many patients with brain tumours are diagnosed rapidly, GPs and other doctors currently face a
49
50 257 diagnostic challenge when deciding whether to refer patients with headaches and memory complaints.
51
52 258 Future work needs to identify whether any additional features or other simple inexpensive tests could be
53
54 259 administered in primary care that could help reduce the time to diagnosis in these patients.
55
56
57
58
59
60

260

Acknowledgements

We would like to thank all the general practitioners and practice teams who have contributed to collect data and Prof. Greg Rubin for allowing us to undertake this secondary data analysis of the NACDPC dataset and Sean McPhail for providing the data extract.

265

Contributors

All the authors were involved in the conception of the study. YBS and MO undertook the data analysis. KZ, PB and RG helped devise the symptom domains. All the authors helped with the data interpretation. MO and YBS co-wrote the first draft of the paper which was then modified after comments and suggestions from the other authors.

271

Ethical Approval

NACDPC was set up as an audit exercise. Participating cancer networks were required to gain local approval for this audit. No patient identifiable data were collected. All data submitted to the National Cancer Intelligence Network (NCIN) for analysis were held on the same IT system and under the same information governance arrangements as apply to cancer registries.

277

Funding statement

This project has been funded by a grant from the Brain Tumour Charity (GN-000295) as part of a programme of work on understanding the diagnostic pathway for brain tumours in adults and its potential impact on clinical care and outcomes.

282

Disclosures

The authors have no financial disclosures. Willie Hamilton is an associate editor of Family Practice.

285

1

286

Figure legend

2

3

287

Figure 1. The pathway and time to diagnosis for patients with brain tumour

For Peer Review

References

1. Ostrom QT, Bauchet L, Davis FG, Deltour I, Fisher JL, Langer CE, et al. The epidemiology of glioma in adults: a "state of the science" review. *Neuro Oncol.* 2014;16(7):896-913.
2. Barrett J, Jiwa M, Rose P, Hamilton W. Pathways to the diagnosis of colorectal cancer: an observational study in three UK cities. *Fam Pract.* 2006;23(1):15-19.
3. Barrett J, Hamilton W. Pathways to the diagnosis of lung cancer in the UK: a cohort study. *BMC Fam Pract.* 2008;9:31.
4. Barrett J, Hamilton W. Pathways to the diagnosis of prostate cancer in a British city. A population-based study. *Scand J Urol Nephrol.* 2005;39(4):267-270.
5. Weller D, Vedsted P, Rubin G, Walter FM, Emery J, Scott S, et al. The Aarhus statement: improving design and reporting of studies on early cancer diagnosis. *Br J Cancer.* 2012;106(7):1262-1267.
6. Hamdan A, Mitchell P. The two-week wait guideline for suspected CNS tumours: a decade analysis. *Br J Neurosurg.* 2013;27(5):642-645.
7. National Cancer Registration And Analysis Service. Routes to Diagnosis - NCIN Data Briefing 2010. www.ncin.org.uk/publications/data_briefings/routes_to_diagnosis. (accessed 10 Jul 2017).
8. Boiardi A, Salmaggi A, Eoli M, Lamperti E, Silvani A. Headache in brain tumours: a symptom to reappraise critically. *Neurol Sci.* 2004;25 Suppl 3:S143-S147.
9. Davies E, Clarke C. Early symptoms of brain tumours. *J Neurol Neurosurg Psychiatry.* 2004;75(8):1205-1206.
10. Ansell P, Johnston T, Simpson J, Crouch S, Roman E, Picton S. Brain tumor signs and symptoms: analysis of primary health care records from the UKCCS. *Pediatrics.* 2010;125(1):112-119.
11. Domett RM, Redaniel MT, Stevens MC, Hamilton W, Martin RM. Features of cancer in teenagers and young adults in primary care: a population-based nested case-control study. *Br J Cancer.* 2013;108(11):2329-2333.
12. Domett RM, Redaniel T, Stevens MC, Martin RM, Hamilton W. Risk of childhood cancer with symptoms in primary care: a population-based case-control study. *Br J Gen Pract.* 2013;63(606):e22-e29.
13. Hamilton W, Kernick D. Clinical features of primary brain tumours: a case-control study using electronic primary care records. *Br J Gen Pract.* 2007;57(542):695-699.
14. Kernick D, Stapley S, Goadsby PJ, Hamilton W. What happens to new-onset headache presented to primary care? A case-cohort study using electronic primary care records. *Cephalalgia.* 2008;28(11):1188-1195.
15. Kernick D, Stapley S, Campbell J, Hamilton W. What happens to new-onset headache in children that present to primary care? A case-cohort study using electronic primary care records. *Cephalalgia.* 2009;29(12):1311-1316.
16. Schmidt-Hansen M, Berendse S, Hamilton W. Symptomatic diagnosis of cancer of the brain and central nervous system in primary care: a systematic review. *Fam Pract.* 2015;32(6):618-623.
17. Keeble S, Abel GA, Saunders CL, McPhail S, Walter FM, Neal RD, et al. Variation in promptness of presentation among 10,297 patients subsequently diagnosed with one of 18 cancers: evidence from a National Audit of Cancer Diagnosis in Primary Care. *Int J Cancer.* 2014;135(5):1220-1228.
18. Lyratzopoulos G, Abel GA, McPhail S, Neal RD, Rubin GP. Measures of promptness of cancer diagnosis in primary care: secondary analysis of national audit data on patients with 18 common and rarer cancers. *Br J Cancer.* 2013;108(3):686-690.
19. Rubin GE, K.; McPhail, S. National Audit of Cancer Diagnosis in Primary Care. Royal

College of General Practitioners. 2011.
<http://www.rcgp.org.uk/policy/rcgp-policy-areas/~media/Files/Policy/National%20Audit%20of%20Cancer%20Diagnosis%20in%20Primary%20Care%20Document%20FINAL%20with%20amends%201Dec11%20RW.ashx> (last accessed 4th October 2017).

20. Ambett R, Rupa V, Rajshekhar V. Analysis of causes for late presentation of Indian patients with vestibular schwannoma. *J Laryngol Otol*. 2009;123(5):502-508.

21. Segal D, Lidar Z, Corn A, Constantini S. Delay in diagnosis of primary intradural spinal cord tumors. *Surg Neurol Int*. 2012;3:52.

22. van Leeuwen JP, Cremers CW, Thewissen NP, Harhangi BS, Meijer E. Acoustic neuroma: correlation among tumor size, symptoms, and patient age. *Laryngoscope*. 1995;105(7 Pt 1):701-707.

23. Haldorsen IS, Espeland A, Larsen JL, Mella O. Diagnostic delay in primary central nervous system lymphoma. *Acta Oncol*. 2005;44(7):728-734.

24. Phi JH, Kim SK, Lee YA, Shin CH, Cheon JE, Kim IO, et al. Latency of intracranial germ cell tumors and diagnosis delay. *Childs Nerv Syst*. 2013;29(10):1871-1881.

25. Idowu OE, Apemiye RA. Delay in presentation and diagnosis of adult primary intracranial neoplasms in a tropical teaching hospital: a pilot study. *Int J Surg*. 2009;7(4):396-398.

26. Cerqua R, Balestrini S, Perozzi C, Cameriere V, Renzi S, Lagalla G, et al. Diagnostic delay and prognosis in primary central nervous system lymphoma compared with glioblastoma multiforme. *Neurol Sci*. 2016;37(1):23-29.

27. Grant R. Overview: Brain tumour diagnosis and management/Royal College of Physicians guidelines. *J Neurol Neurosurg Psychiatry*. 2004;75 Suppl 2:ii18-23.

28. Kerrigan S, Erridge S, Liaquat I, Graham C, Grant R. Mental incapacity in patients undergoing neuro-oncologic treatment: a cross-sectional study. *Neurology*. 2014;83(6):537-541.

29. Zienius K, Kerrigan S, Harden S, Grant R. Semantic Verbal Fluency in Patients with Headache Suspicious of Brain Tumour. *J Neurol Neurosurg Ps*. 2016;87(12).

30. Simpson GC, Forbes K, Teasdale E, Tyagi A, Santosh C. Impact of GP direct-access computerised tomography for the investigation of chronic daily headache. *Br J Gen Pract*. 2010;60(581):897-901.

31. Benamore RE, Wright D, Britton I. Is primary care access to CT brain examinations effective? *Clin Radiol*. 2005;60(10):1083-1089.

32. National Institute for Health and Care Excellence. Suspected cancer: recognition and referral (NG12). 2015.
<https://www.nice.org.uk/guidance/ng12/resources/suspected-cancer-recognition-and-referral-pdf-1837268071621> (accessed 14 Jul 2017).

Table 1. Socio-demographic characteristics of patients of brain tumour, n=226

Variable	Freq.	%		Freq.	%
Age group			Investigation before referral		
<60	82	36.3	No	142	62.8
60-69	55	24.3	Yes	70	31.0
≥70	84	37.2	Unknown	14	6.2
Unknown	5	2.2			
			Which specialist to be referred		
Sex			Neurology	55	24.3
Female	105	46.5	Accident & Emergency	18	8.0
Male	121	53.5	Medicine & Geriatrics	50	22.1
			Ophthalmology	17	7.5
Ethnicity			Neurosurgery	19	8.4
White british	177	78.3	Paediatrics	11	4.9
Other	29	12.8	Stroke	9	4.0
Unknown	20	8.9	Miscellaneous	17	7.5
			Unknown	30	13.3
Housebound			Type of referral		
No	187	82.7	Emergency	90	39.82
Yes	27	12.0	Not referred by practice	34	15.04
Unknown	12	5.3	2 week/private	41	18.14
Problems in communication			Routine	39	17.26
No	187	82.7	Unknown	22	9.73
Dementia	4	1.8	Attended 3+ before referral		
Language barrier	8	3.5	No	119	62.7
Learning difficulty	2	0.9	Yes	76	33.6
Mental Health	2	0.9	Unknown	31	13.7
Poor vision	1	0.4	Rapid access investigations		
Speech impediment	11	4.9	No	157	69.5
Unknown	11	4.9	Yes	46	20.4
Symptoms			Unknown	23	10.1
Headache	47	20.8	Avoidable delays in patient journey		
Headache only	16		No	153	67.7
Headache plus	31		Yes	68	30.1
Behavioural/cognitive	28	12.4	Unknown	5	2.2
Confusion	14				
Memory	14				
Focal neurology	75	33.2			
Fits, faints or falls	47	20.8			
Non-specific neurological	11	4.9			
Other/non-specific	18	8.0			

Table 2. Median and interquartile range of time to diagnosis (days) by socio-demographic characteristics of patients with a brain tumour

Variable	Time to diagnosis							
	Patient interval ^{*1}		Primary care interval ^{*2}		Specialist interval ^{*3}		Pathway interval ^{*4}	
	Median	Interquartile range	Median	Interquartile range	Median	Interquartile range	Median	Interquartile range
Age group								
<60	5.5	0-25.5	3	0-31.5	6	0-19	25.5	8-81
60-69	8	1-26	2	0-6	2	0-12.5	20.5	7-63
>60	5	0-15.5	1	0-15	7	0-24	22	7-54
Sex								
Female	5	0-21	1.5	0-13.5	4	0-15	25	7-60.5
Male	8	1-26.5	2	0-15	6	0-17	24	8-66
Ethnicity								
White British	6	0-22	2	0-16	4	0-15	24	7-66
Other	6	0-23.5	0	0-7	5	0-25	20	7-60
Housebound								
No	7	0-29	1	0-11	6	0-17	25	7-77
Yes	3	0-8	4	0-24	4.5	0-11	15	6-44
Problems in communication								
No	7	0-26	2	0-16	4	0-16	25	7-74.5
Yes	2	0-29	0	0-5.5	7	0-16	21.5	7-60
Symptoms								
Headache	9	2-45	6	0-30	2	0-11	30	11-86
Headache only	10	4-101	17.5	5-64	2	0-10	61	20-197
Headache plus	6	2-18.5	4	0-24	2	0-15	23	7-60
Behavioural/cognitive	14	3-62	4	0-16	9	0-19	39	13-90
Confusion	16.5	7-31	1.5	0-6	2.5	0-16	18.5	4.5-41

Memory	14	2-62	5	0-21	11	2-21	62	35-95
Focal neurology	5	0-14	0	0-7.5	9	0-24	21	7-61
Fits, faints or falls	3.5	0-30	0	0-5	0	0-11	10	0-42
Non-specific neurological	12.5	0-28	15	0-35	8	1-17	50	43-65
Other/non-specific	3	0-22	3	0-80	4.5	0-7	16	7-66
Investigation before referral								
No	5	0-18	0	0-4	3.5	0-15	14.5	5-50
Yes	13	1-31	11	4-43	7	0-19	55.5	30-110
Type of referral								
Emergency	4.5	0-18	0	0-6	0	0-3	14	6-39
Not referred by practice	10	0-22	2	0-6.5	0	0-7	7	0-23
2 week/private	13.5	5-30.5	4	0-16	8	5-11.5	39	15-78
Routine	6	0-33	7	0-80	24.5	12.5-53.5	81	50-141
Which specialist to be referred								
A&E ^{†1}	5	0-10	0	0-4	0	0-7	11	7-25
Neurosurg/Neurol ^{†2}	8.5	1-26	5	0-33	8	1-19	43.5	10-83
Med/stroke/Opth/Paeds/Misc ^{†3}	5	0-29	1	0-11	3	0-19	27	8-82

^{†1} patient with missing values (n=28) are excluded, ^{†2} patient with missing values (n=45) are excluded, ^{†3} patient with missing values (n=49) are excluded, ^{†4} patient with missing values (n=46) are excluded

^{†1} Accident & Emergency, ^{†2} Neurosurgery & Neurology, ^{†3} Medicine & Geriatrics, stroke, Ophthalmology, Paediatrics & Miscellaneous.

Table 3. Odds ratios and 95% confidence intervals for slowest quartile time along patient pathway to diagnosis

	Time to diagnosis											
	Slowest quartile for first symptom to first attend the GPs			Slowest quartile for first attend the GPs to Referral			Slowest quartile for referral to see the specialist			Slowest quartile for first symptoms to see the specialist		
	n/total	Odds ratio	95%CI	n/total	Odds ratio	95%CI	n/total	Odds ratio	95%CI	n/total	Odds ratio	95%CI
Age group ^{*1}												
<60	21/84	1.01	0.43-2.35	24/84	2.53	0.98-6.52	20/84	1.74	0.62-4.86	25/84	1.38	0.60-3.19
60-69	14/56	1.00	(reference)	9/56	1.00	(reference)	9/56	1.00	(reference)	14/56	1.00	(reference)
>60	19/86	0.83	0.36-1.91	20/86	1.72	0.66-4.51	26/86	2.46	0.99-6.17	19/86	0.88	0.27-2.12
Sex ^{*2}												
Female	24/105	1.00	(reference)	25/105	1.00	(reference)	25/105	1.00	(reference)	25/105	1.00	(reference)
Male	30/121	1.08	0.54-2.14	28/121	0.96	0.49-1.89	30/121	1.18	0.59-2.35	33/121	1.13	0.60-2.10
Ethnicity ^{*3}												
White British	48/195	1.00	(reference)	48/195	1.00	(reference)	46/195	1.00	(reference)	52/195	1.00	(reference)
Other	7/31	0.92	0.34-2.51	5/31	0.43	0.13-1.40	9/31	1.23	0.47-3.17	6/31	0.61	0.21-1.78
Housebound ^{*4}												
No	54/196	1.00	(reference)	42/196	1.00	(reference)	48/196	1.00	(reference)	54/196	1.00	(reference)
Yes	1/31	0.10	0.01-0.77	11/31	2.45	0.90-6.69	7/31	0.61	0.18-2.03	4/31	0.42	0.11-1.60
Problems in communication ^{*4}												
No	48/196	1.00	(reference)	49/196	1.00	(reference)	48/196	1.00	(reference)	52/196	1.00	(reference)
Yes	7/30	0.93	0.32-2.72	4/30	0.44	0.12-1.65	7/30	0.80	0.27-2.37	6/30	0.78	0.25-2.41
Symptoms ^{*4}												
Headache	13/47	1.13	0.39-3.22	15/47	6.47	1.22-34.3	10/47	1.18	0.39-3.61	15/47	2.33	0.80-6.80
Headache only	6/16	1.96	0.54-7.05	8/16	11.8	1.88-73.9	3/16	0.92	0.18-4.55	7/16	4.11	1.10-15.5
Headache_plus	7/31	0.81	0.23-2.80	8/31	4.54	0.78-26.5	7/31	1.34	0.39-4.54	8/31	1.68	0.50-5.60

Behavioural/cognitive	10/28	1.64	0.55-4.85	7/28	5.41	0.98-29.8	8/28	1.59	0.47-5.37	9/28	2.62	0.77-8.90
Confusion	3/14	0.97	0.22-4.28	1/14	1.55	0.11-21.3	3/14	1.06	0.17-6.53	2/14	1.13	0.20-6.59
Memory	6/14	2.55	0.67-9.68	6/14	10.9	1.79-66.1	5/14	2.15	0.52-8.84	7/14	4.82	1.15-20.1
Focal neurology	14/75	0.70	0.26-1.87	14/75	3.37	0.69-16.52	22/75	1.61	0.60-4.31	18/75	1.79	0.62-5.17
Fits, faints or falls	11/47	1.00	(reference)	3/47	1.00	(reference)	9/47	1.00	(reference)	7/47	1.00	(reference)
Non-specific neurological	3/11	0.96	0.16-5.79	5/11	11.9	1.82-77.8	3/11	1.73	0.36-8.45	2/11	1.29	1.96-8.51
Other/non-specific	5/18	1.15	0.27-4.88	7/18	8.23	1.03-66.0	3/18	0.71	0.14-3.60	7/18	3.35	0.89-12.65
Investigation before referral^{*4}												
No	31/153	1.00	(reference)	20/153	1.00	(reference)	34/153	1.00	(reference)	24/153	1.00	(reference)
Yes	24/73	2.02	1.01-4.03	32/73	5.53	2.62-11.67	21/73	1.33	0.68-2.60	34/73	4.81	2.36-9.79
Type of referral^{*4}												
Emergency	20/98	1.00	(reference)	16/98	1.00	(reference)	11/98	1.00	(reference)	17/98	1.00	(reference)
Not referred by practice	7/38	0.79	0.24-2.55	5/38	0.70	0.18-2.73	6/38	1.57	0.34-7.22	4/38	0.57	0.17-1.89
2 week/private	13/46	1.56	0.67-3.61	14/46	2.26	0.89-2.73	10/46	2.17	0.74-6.39	13/46	1.78	0.72-4.44
Routine	15/44	2.08	0.86-4.99	18/44	3.67	1.53-8.85	28/44	14.5	5.13-40.8	24/44	5.72	2.26-14.5
Which specialist to be referred^{*4}												
A&E ^{†1}	3/24	1.00	(reference)	3/24	1.00	(reference)	2/24	1.00	(reference)	1/24	1.00	(reference)
Neurosurg/Neurol ^{†2}	20/84	2.81	0.53-15.0	28/84	4.35	0.68-27.8	22/84	5.60	0.69-45.6	23/84	7.42	0.83-66.2
Med/stroke/Oph/Paeds/Misc ^{†3}	33/118	3.82	0.73-19.9	21/118	1.97	0.32-11.9	31/118	5.52	0.69-44.1	34/118	9.45	1.03-87.1

[†]Long time to diagnosis defined as worst quartile of time to diagnosis period


^{*1}Adjusted for sex and ethnicity; ^{*2}Adjusted for age group and ethnicity; ^{*3}Adjusted for age group and sex; ^{*4}Adjusted for age group, sex, and ethnicity

^{†1}Accident & Emergency, ^{†2}Neurosurgery & Neurology, ^{†3}Medicine & Geriatrics, stroke, Ophthalmology, Paediatrics & Miscellaneous.

Table 4: The association between symptom domain and frequent attendance, GP perception of need for rapid access investigations and avoidable delay

	Attend 3+ times			Rapid access investigations			Avoidable delays		
	n/total	Odds ratio	95% CI	n/total	Odds ratio	95% CI	n/total	Odds ratio	95% CI
Symptoms*									
Headache	21/44	4.50	1.39-14.6	18/45	7.27	1.83-28.9	13/46	2.63	0.81-8.59
Headache only	11/16	7.92	1.80-34.8	11/15	42.77	7.01-261.2	5/16	3.64	0.83-15.9
Headache plus	10/28	3.27	0.91-11.8	7/30	2.88	0.62-13.4	8/30	2.17	0.59-8.04
Behavioural/cognitive	11/25	4.32	1.18-15.8	5/25	2.02	0.40-10.2	3/27	1.00	0.21-4.67
Confusion	4/12	2.99	0.61-14.8	0/12	1.00		2/14	1.38	0.22-8.47
Memory	7/13	6.09	1.30-28.6	5/13	4.13	0.74-23.1	1/13	0.64	0.07-6.29
Focal neurology	22/64	2.71	0.88-8.34	17/68	4.30	1.14-14.1	16/74	2.13	0.70-6.45
Fits, faints or falls	7/36	1.00	(reference)	4/40	1.00	(reference)	6/46	1.00	(reference)
Non-specific neurological	5/11	4.12	0.79-21.4	1/11	1.27	0.11-14.1	3/11	3.59	0.65-19.66
Other/non-specific	10/15	10.17	2.12-48.8	1/14	0.91	0.08-9.86	2/17	0.83	0.14-6.94

* Odds ratios adjusted for age group, gender and ethnicity



162x49mm (300 x 300 DPI)

Supplementary table 1. Symptom domains with some examples of specific symptoms

1. Headache	
1-1. Headache only	Headache
1-2. Headache plus	Headache plus any other neurological feature
2. Behavioural / cognitive	
2-1. Confusion	Confusion
2-2. memory	e.g. Memory Loss e.g. Poor concentration e.g. Cognitive decline e.g. strange behaviour
3. Focal neurology	Hemiparesis Ataxia TIA, CVA, Stroke, stroke-like symptoms Incoordination Dysphasia Double vision, diplopia, loss of vision Slurred speech Vertigo Sudden onset deafness 6th nerve palsy Weakness and numbness Poor co-ordination Squint
4. Fits, faints or falls	Seizure Collapse Fit Funny turns Fainting Falls Convulsion Strange sensation in stomach and strange taste sensation
5. Non-specific neurological	Poor balance Dizziness Gait abnormality
6. Other/non-specific	Vomiting Nausea Breast Lump Lethargy Sweating General malaise UTI Tinea leg Cyst Not known

Supplementary table 2. Odds ratios and 95 confidence intervals for long time to diagnosis vs. short time to diagnosis without imputation

	Time to diagnosis											
	Slowest quartile for first symptom to first attend the GPs			Slowest quartile for first attend the GPs to Referral			Slowest quartile for referral to see the specialist			Slowest quartile for first symptoms to see the specialist		
	n/total	Odds	95%CI	n/total	Odds	95%CI	n/total	Odds	95%CI	n/total	Odds	95%CI
		ratio			ratio			ratio			ratio	
Age group ^{*1}												
<60	17/68	0.87	0.35-2.15	21/64	3.99	1.33-11.9	17/63	3.86	1.17-12.7	22/74	1.47	0.61-3.58
60-69	12/46	1.00		7/46	1.00		7/48	1.00		11/48	1.00	
>60	14/64	0.69	0.26-1.82	16/63	2.78	0.90-8.56	21/65	5.05	1.54-16.6	15/73	0.88	0.34-2.28
Sex ^{*2}												
Female	19/81	1.00		20/84	1.00		21/86	1.00		22/92	1.00	
	26/10											
Male	0	1.19	0.56-2.52	24/93	1.16	0.55-2.46	24/94	1.57	0.74-3.32	27/106	1.24	0.61-2.51
Ethnicity ^{*3}												
	34/14						33/14					
White british	2	1.00		36/139	1.00		1	1.00		40/158	1.00	
Other	6/24	1.14	0.41-3.17	4/25	0.42	0.13-1.35	7/25	1.08	0.40-2.90	5/25	0.69	0.24-2.00
Housebound ^{*4}												
	42/15						40/15					
No	3	1.00		35/153	1.00		5	1.00		44/165	1.00	
Yes	1/19	0.16	0.20-1.32	7/19	2.41	0.81-7.14	4/20	0.37	0.10-1.45	3/23	0.48	0.13-1.80
Problems in communication ^{*4}												
	40/15						38/15					
No	4	1.00		39/151	1.00		3	1.00		44/168	1.00	
Yes	5/19	1.3	0.42-4.03	3/20	0.55	0.14-2.09	5/21	0.75	0.23-2.37	5/22	1.03	0.35-3.08
Symptoms ^{*4}												

1													
2													
3													
4													
5	Headache	12/43	0.83	0.25-2.73	14/41	12.48	1.46-106.6	8/41	1.09	0.29-4.10	14/45	1.79	0.57-1.79
6	Headache only	6/15	1.43	0.32-6.30	7/14	19.02	1.87-193.6	2/14	0.76	0.12-4.90	7/15	3.12	0.73-13.2
7	Headache plus	6/28	0.58	0.14-2.39	7/27	9.43	1.03-86.7	6/27	1.28	0.31-5.30	7/30	1.32	0.36-4.82
8	Behavioural/cognitive	9/23	2.26	0.66-7.80	7/23	9.30	0.98-87.8	7/23	1.50	0.37-6.11	8/25	2.66	0.77-9.21
9	Confusion	3/10	1.44	0.26-8.04	1/10	3.70	0.20-69.9	2/10	1.61	0.23-11.3	2/12	1.25	0.21-7.60
10	Memory	6/13	2.99	0.71-12.7	6/13	13.84	1.33-143.9	5/13	1.44	0.29-7.08	6/13	4.36	1.03-18.4
11	Focal neurology	10/61	0.64	0.21-2.00	12/64	6.04	0.72-50.7	20/65	1.63	0.50-5.29	15/65	1.59	0.53-4.71
12	Fits, faints or falls	9/34	1.00	(reference)	2/29	1.00	(reference)	6/31	1.00	(reference)	6/41	1.00	(reference)
13	Cranial nerve	2/8	1.35	0.21-8.71	5/10	20.22	1.71-239.0	3/10	2.30	0.38-14.0	2/9	1.57	0.25-9.91
14	Other/non-specific	3/12	1.27	0.24-6.59	4/10	13.37	1.19-149.6	1/10	0.36	0.04-3.65	4/13	2.72	0.58-12.8
15													
16	Investigation before referral^{*4}												
17		23/11						27/11					
18	No	4	1.00		14/110	1.00		4	1.00		20/130	1.00	
19	Yes	21/64	1.85	0.87-3.94	30/65	6.96	3.04-15.9	18/63	1.20	0.56-2.59	29/62	5.14	2.46-10.8
20													
21	Type of referral^{*4}												
22	Emergency	17/80	1.00		13/82	1.00		9/83	1.00		14/82	1.00	
23	Not referred by practice	3/15	0.98	0.24-4.02	1/8	0.89	0.09-8.43	1/11	1.50	0.16-14.3	2/27	0.33	0.07-1.60
24	2 week/private	12/40	1.66	0.65-4.27	12/41	2.21	0.82-5.97	7/40	2.47	0.78-7.81	11/39	2.04	0.77-5.37
25	Routine	10/31	2.11	0.78-5.74	15/37	3.58	1.38-9.27	22/36	15.74	5.25-47.2	17/31	6.94	2.56-18.8
26													
27	Which specialist to be referrerd^{*4}												
28	Med/Eyes/Stroke/Miscell	2/16	1.00		2/15	1.00		1/16	1.00		1/17	1.00	
29	Neurosurg/Neurol/Paeds	15/58	3.82	0.45-32.5	23/59	4.56	0.90-23.2	18/62	6.50	0.77-55.0	19/64	6.08	0.73-50.7
30	A&E	25/95	6.00	0.73-49.4	19/99	1.86	0.37-9.33	25/98	5.57	0.67-46.1	27/95	8.18	0.99-67.7
31													
32													

33 [†]Long time to diagnosis defined as worst quartile of time to diagnosis period

34 ^{*1}Adjusted for sex and ethnicity; ^{*2}Adjusted for age group and ethnicity; ^{*3}Adjusted for age group and sex; ^{*4}Adjusted for age group, sex, and ethnicity

35 ^{†1}Accident & Emergency, ^{†2}Neurosurgery & Neurology, ^{†3}Medicine & Geriatrics, stroke, Ophthalmology, Paediatrics & Miscellaneous.

36

37

38

39

40

41

42

43

44

45

46

47

For Peer Review